Methionine – Au Nanoparticle Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode: a Novel Platform for Electrochemical Detection of Hydroquinone
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A high sensitive electrochemical sensor based on methionine/gold nanoparticles (MET/AuNPs) modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE) was fabricated for the quantitative detection of hydroquinone (HQ). The as-modified electrode was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) techniques. The electrochemical performance of the sensor to HQ was investigated by using cyclic and differential pulse voltammetry, which revealed its excellent electrocatalytic activity and reversibility towards HQ. The separation of anodic and cathodic peak (∆E p) was decreased from 471 mV to 75 mV. The anodic peak current achieved under the optimum conditions was linear with the HQ concentration ranging from 8 μM to 400 μM with the detection limit 0.12 μM (3σ). The as-fabricated sensor also showed a good selectivity towards HQ without demonstrating interference from other coexisting species. Furthermore, the sensor showed a good performance for HQ detection in environmental water, which suggests its potential practical application.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Hydroquinone (HQ) is one of the isomers of dihydroxybenzene, which plays an important role in fine chemistry, such as cosmetics, pesticides and food antioxidants [1]. However, as a highly toxic and lowly degradable compound, HQ is extremely harmful to living organisms even at very low concentration [2]. For human beings, HQ can cause fatigue, headache, tachycardia and kidney damage [3]. At the high concentration, HQ will lead to acute myeloid leukemia [4]. In US and European countries, HQ is considered as a pollutant to environment [5]. In China, the national standard (GB 8978-1996) regulates that the emission concentration of phenolic compounds should be less than 0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) (4.45 × 10\(^{-3}\) mol L\(^{-1}\) for dihydroxybenzene) [6]. Consequently, it is necessary to develop analytical methods with high sensitivity and accuracy to detect HQ.

Currently, different approaches, such as spectrophotometry [7], high performance liquid chromatography [8 – 9], capillary electrophromatography [10 – 11], gas chromatography [12], fluorescence quenching [13 – 14], electrochemiluminescence (15 – 16), have been proposed to determine the concentration of HQ. Nevertheless, the practical application of these methods is still restricted by many disadvantages, e.g., formidable instrumentation, lengthy analysis time and tedious process. As a conventional method, electrochemical analysis has played an important role in analytical chemistry due to the fast response, low instrument cost and feasibility for on-site measurement [17 – 18]. Recently, much effort has been paid to develop the versatile electrodes to determine HQ. Typical examples are the modified electrodes, and the materials to decorate the surface adopt electrospun carbon nanofibers [19], L-cysteine oxide/gold [20], poly (thionine) [21], carbon nanotube-ionic liquid composite [22], Pt-MnO\(_2\) composite particle [23], graphitic mesoporous carbon [24], 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate (BMIMPF\(_6\)) [25], copper hexacyanoferrate and platinum film [26]. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), due to its large surface area, high conductivity and electrocatalytic capability, have been employed to increase the detection limit in electrochemical analysis [27]. Many studies have used AuNPs modified electrodes for voltammetric sensing [28 – 31]. On the other hand, amino acids are cheap and popular compounds, which are easily achieved. To date, there are rare works using amino acids as modifiers to decorate the electrodes for determining the target compounds [32].

In this paper, a methionine/gold nanoparticles modified glassy carbon electrode (MET/AuNPs/GCE) was fabricated and employed as the working electrode for HQ determination. The combination of AuNPs and methionine (MET) firmly increased the electron transfer rate and the active area of the electrode. On measuring the performance of the sensor to HQ, linear range and detection limit was evaluated and discussed. The experimental results indicated that the as-modified electrode exhibited excellent electrochemical catalytic activities towards the redox of HQ.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Reagents

Hydroquinone (HQ) was obtained from Aladdin reagents. Methionine (MET) and HAuCl\(_4\) were both
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purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Disodium hydrogen phosphate and dihydrogen phosphate were purchased from Nanjing Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All reagents used in this study were analytical grade. Doubly distilled water was employed for all the experiments.

2.2. Apparatus

A conventional three-electrode system was used for electrochemical experiments. The working electrode was a bare glassy carbon electrode (GCE) (CHI104, \( d = 3 \text{ mm} \)) or a GCE electrode modified with MET-AuNPs composite films. A platinum wire and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) were used as counter and reference electrode, respectively. In this manuscript, all of the potentials are reported taking SCE as the reference. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) measurements were conducted on a CHI 660D electrochemical workstation (Shanghai Chenhua Co., Ltd., China). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed on PARSTAT2273 electrochemical workstation (EG&G, USA). The surface morphology of the MET/AuNPs/GCE was characterized by a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, Zeiss Ultra 55, Germany). Energy disperse spectroscopy (EDS) was obtained from Oxford Instruments, X-max. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed by a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu K\( \alpha \) radiation. All the measurements were carried out at room temperature.

2.3. Preparation of MET/AuNPs/GCE

As shown in Scheme 1, the procedure for fabricating the MET/AuNPs/GCE includes three steps. In the first step, the GCE was polished using 0.05 \( \mu \text{m} \) alumina slurries on a polishing cloth, then washed and ultrasonicated with anhydrous alcohol and double distilled water for 5 min each and dried in \( \text{N}_2 \) atmosphere. In the second step, electrodeposition of AuNPs was performed using potentio-static method in 1 \( \text{mM} \) \( \text{HAuCl}_4 \) solution containing 0.5 \( \text{M} \) \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) at –0.2 V. The \( \text{HAuCl}_4 \) solution was deoxygenated for 20 min with \( \text{N}_2 \) prior to electrodeposition. In the third step, MET was electrodeposited onto AuNPs/GCE by CV technique in deoxygenated 10 \( \text{mM} \) MET solution in \( \text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4-\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \) (PBS) for 20 cycles in the potential range of –0.8 V to 1.5 V at 100 mV s\(^{-1}\). The unique surface can increase the specific surface area of the electrode and minimize the diffusion path for ions to move in and out. We can conclude that the MET/AuNPs film provides a favorable micro-environment for electrochemical sensing the target molecules [33]. To identify the elemental composition of the MET/AuNPs modified electrode, EDS measurement was carried out. The corresponding result is shown in Fig. 1, b. The peaks appearing at 1.7, 2.1, 9.7 and 11.4 keV are related to Au, and the other peak at ~0.3 keV corresponds to C. The EDS spectrum of MET/AuNPs composite film demonstrates the presence of Au and C in the composite film, which indirectly confirms that the AuNPs and MET were successfully electrodeposited on the surface of GCE. Besides, the XRD pattern in Fig. 2 further confirms that the AuNPs are successfully anchored on GCE surface. Three peaks appearing at 44.91°, 62.44°, 77.03° are assigned to the (200), (220), (311) faces respectively, indicating the formation of the crystalline Au [25]. Meanwhile, the peaks near to 30° and 52° are corresponding to the substrate.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. SEM and XRD Characterization

SEM image (as shown in Fig. 1, a) illustrates the surface morphology of the MET/AuNPs/GCE. Plenty of MET/AuNPs composites uniformly disperse on the electrode surface. The composite has a granular shape and its average size reaches ca. 100 nm. The SEM image also shows that the surface of the electrode is very rough. This

Scheme 1. The fabrication steps of the modified electrode

3.2. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy studies of MET/AuNPs/GCE

The EIS is employed to investigate the electron transfer property of the electrodes modified by different materials. The electrochemical impedance property of the bare GCE, AuNPs/GCE, and MET/AuNPs/GCE is respectively measured in 5.0 \( \text{mM} \) \( \text{Fe(CN)}_6^{3-}/4^- \) aqueous solution using 0.1 M KCl as the supporting electrolyte. In Fig. 3, EIS results show that all of the curves contain
semicircle, which corresponds to the electron-transfer process. The diameter is equal to the electron-transfer resistance \( R_d \). As shown in Fig. 3, \( R_d \) of AuNPs/GCE is calculated as 477.3 \( \Omega \), which is much lower than that of bare GCE (693.7 \( \Omega \)), which is attributed to the acceleration effect of AuNPs for electron transfer between solution and electrode interface. In addition, the \( R_d \) of the MET/AuNPs/GCE was further decreased to 163 \( \Omega \), which might be the synergetic effect between MET and AuNPs.

The excellent performance of MET/AuNPs/GCE can be assigned as the following reasons. Firstly, the amino and carboxyl in MET are able to interact with the hydroxyl group of HQ via H-bonding. In pH 7.0 PBS buffer solution, the negatively charged MET will interact with the positively charged HQ (pK\text{a} 9.96) through the favorable electrostatic attraction, which may help to lower the activation energy of the redox reactions [20]. Secondly, as revealed by the SEM characterization, MET was decentralized and assimilated on the surface of the AuNPs having large surface area and good conductivity, which may lead to a remarkable synergistic effect for accelerating the electron transfer between HQ and MET/AuNPs/GCE. Thirdly, MET has a large surface area, which can easily enrich HQ on the surface of MET/AuNPs/GCE. Thus, MET/AuNPs/GCE has a good performance for the determination of HQ.

### 3.4. Effect of solution pH

The acidity of electrolyte may affect the electrochemical reaction of HQ, since protons are involved in the process of electrode reaction. In order to obtain the optimal electrochemical response of HQ at MET/AuNPs/GCE, such as PBS, acetate buffer solution (HAc-NaAc) and Britton-Robinson buffer solution (B-R) are investigated by CV tests. The results reveal that well-defined and large peak currents are obtained in PBS buffer solution. The influence of the solution pH value on the oxidation current response of HQ at MET/AuNPs/GCE is also investigated using PBS buffer solution in the pH range of 3.0 – 9.0. The maximum anodic peak current is observed at pH 7.0 and then decreases rapidly, which might be caused by the shortage of proton at high pH value. The peak potential \( (E_p) \) changes to more negative value with the increasing solution pH value, which indicates that protons are involved in the electrode reaction [34]. The oxidation peak potential of HQ is linear to the solution pH value with regression equation as \( E_p \) (mV) = –61.0776 pH + 532.8759 (R = 0.9993). The slope of curve is close to the theoretical value of –57.6 V pH\text{"{−1}}", suggesting that two protons are involved in the electrode reaction [35].

### 3.5. Effect of scan rate

The influence of potential scan rates on the anodic currents of HQ at the MET/AuNPs/GCE is also investigated by CV technique in 0.1M PBS (pH 7.0) containing 50 \( \mu \)M HQ (Fig. 5, a).

The result reveals that the oxidation peak current of HQ is linear to the square root of scan rate ranging from 10 to 100 mV s\text{−1} (Fig. 5, b). The regression equations are expressed as \( I_p \) (Å) = 7.4771 \( \sqrt{\nu} \) + 9.9923 \( \nu \) (Å mV s\text{−1}) and \( I_p \) (Å) = –10.5744 \( \sqrt{\nu} \) + 9.9915 \( \nu \) (Å mV s\text{−1}), with the regression coefficient of 0.9975 and 0.9961, respectively. It also indicates that the oxidation peak...
potentials of HQ do not shift positively with increasing the scan rate. The result suggests that the redox reaction of HQ is a typical diffusion-controlled process.

3.6. The response characteristics of the sensor to HQ

The amperometric responses to HQ are studied at the bare GCE, AuNPs/GCE, MET/GCE and MET/AuNPs/GCE. During these experiments, 0.025 V is set as the working potential. Under such condition, the amperometric response will reach a maximum value. The corresponding typical current-time curves are obtained by plotting the different reaction time against the anodic peak current (Fig. 6). It is observed that the sensor rapidly responds and reaches a steady state within a short time after the addition of HQ into the solution. This phenomenon could be attributed to the well-defined surface area [36]. Furthermore, drastic increase in the response currents is observed at the MET/AuNPs/GCE with the addition of the HQ (Fig. 6, curve d). Nevertheless, the bare GCE (Fig. 6, curve a), AuNPs/GCE (Fig. 6, curve b) and MET/GCE (Fig. 6, curve c) show relatively small current responses to HQ. The above results indicate that the MET/AuNPs composite films built on the GCE substrate can greatly enhance the electrocatalytic response to HQ.

Compared with other previously reported modified electrodes, the MET/AuNPs/GCE displays superior electroanalytical behavior (listed in Table 1).

Table 1. Comparison of different modified electrodes for HQ determination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrodes</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Linear range (µM)</th>
<th>Detection limit (µM)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphene-chitosan/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>1–300</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMC/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>2–50</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNTs/MEA</td>
<td>I–T</td>
<td>1–100</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Gr/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>20–150</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASA/MWNTs/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>6.0–100</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI/MnO₂/GCE</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.2–100</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDHf/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>12–800</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRP–SiSG/AgNPs/poly(L-Arg)/CPE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>1–150</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET/AuNPs/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>8–400</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* graphitic mesoporous carbon/GCE;  
*b* Multiwalled carbon nanotubes modified multielectrode array;  
*c* Pt-grapheme hybrid/GCE;  
*d* poly-amidosulfonic acid/multi-wall carbon nanotubes/GCE;  
*e* polyaniline/MnO₂/nanofibers/GCE;  
*f* Zn/Al layered double hydroxide film/GCE;  
*g* Horseradish peroxidase-silica sol-gel/silver nanoparticles/poly(l-arginine)/CPE.

3.7. Determination of HQ

Fig. 7, a, shows the DPV recording for various concentrations of HQ in PBS (pH 7.0). Under the optimal conditions, the oxidation peak current of HQ increases linearly to the concentration of HQ from 8 µM to 400 µM with the linear regression equation as \( I_{pa} = -62.0408 - 0.4689 \cdot c \) (µA, µM, \( R = 0.9963 \)), and the detection limit is 0.12 µM (3σ) (Fig. 7, b).

Fig. 7 DPV responses (a) for various HQ concentration at MET/AuNPs/GCE in pH 7.0 PBS buffer solution. (a–i: 8, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350 and 400 µM). The calibration plots of HQ (b)
recoveries are 96.6\%–101.4\%, which reveals the feasibility of this method to the determination of HQ.

Table 2. Recovery results for HQ in practical samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Original concentration of HQ (µM)</th>
<th>Amount of standard HQ added (µM)</th>
<th>Total amount of HQ Found (µM)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>RSD (%) (n = 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>ND*</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River water</td>
<td>ND*</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>4.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake water</td>
<td>ND*</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* not detected.

4 CONCLUSIONS

To sum up, a novel voltammetric sensor for determination of HQ was fabricated based on AuNPs and MET composite. The research results revealed that the MET/AuNPs composite exhibited electrocatalytic activity to HQ oxidation. Due to the high effective surface area of MET and high electrode conductivity of AuNPs, low oxidation over-potential and large peak currents were observed at the MET/AuNPs/GCE. This MET/AuNPs modified electrode presented excellent catalytic activity for the electrochemical detection of HQ. Moreover, this method was successfully applied to determine HQ in environmental water samples.
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